## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,	Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-12551-FDS
Plaintiff,	
v.	Hon. F. Dennis Saylor IV
GOOGLE LLC,	
Defendant.	

## STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF VALIDITY BASED UPON *INTER PARTES* REVIEW ESTOPPEL UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2)

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rule 56-2, plaintiff, Singular Computing LLC ("Singular"), submits the following Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in support of its motion for partial summary judgment of validity based upon *inter partes* review estoppel under 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).

No.	Statement of Fact	Supporting Evidence
1.	Singular is the owner, by	Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 27-28; Dkt.
	assignment of U.S. Patent No.	No. 44.
	10,416,961 ("the '961 patent").	
2.	Singular is the owner, by	Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 27-28; Dkt.
	assignment of U.S. Patent No.	No. 44.
	9,218,156 ("the '156 patent").	
3.	Singular is the owner, by	Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 27-28; Dkt.
	assignment of U.S. Patent No.	No. 44.
	8,407,273 ("the '273 patent").	
4.	Singular filed the Complaint in this	Dkt. No. 1.
	action on December 20, 2019.	
5.	Singular filed the Amended	Dkt. No. 37.
	Complaint in this action on March	
	20, 2020.	
6.	Google LLC ("Google") answered	Dkt. No. 53.
	the Amended Complaint on July 9,	
	2020.	

No.	Statement of Fact	Supporting Evidence
7.	Google filed an Amended Answer	Dkt. No. 57.
	on July 23, 2020	
8.	In the First Affirmative Defense in	Dkt. No. 57, p. 23.
	its Amended Answer, Google	
	alleges that the claims of the	
	patents-in-suit are invalid under 35	
	U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.	
9.	On October 30, 2020, Google filed	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. D.
	a Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	
	("IPR") of the '961 patent that	
	included an Appendix of Exhibits	
	identifying numerous alleged prior	
	art patents and printed publications	
	and arguing that the challenged	
	claims are invalid for obviousness.	
10.	The Patent Trial and Appeal Board	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. D.
	("PTAB") assigned the '961 IPR	
	number IPR2021-00155.	
11.	On November 5, 2020, Google filed	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. E.
1	a Petition for IPR of the '156 patent	
	that included an Appendix of	
	Exhibits identifying numerous	
	alleged prior art patents and printed	
	publications and arguing that the	
	challenged claims are invalid for	
12.	obviousness.	Declaration of Vavin Connon Ev. E.
12.	The PTAB assigned the '156 IPR	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. E.
12	number IPR2021-00165.	Declaration of Vavin Connon Ev E
13.	On November 6, 2020, Google filed	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. F.
	a Petition for IPR of the '273 patent that included an Appendix of	
	Exhibits identifying numerous	
	alleged prior art patents and printed	
	publications and arguing that the	
	challenged claims are invalid for	
	obviousness.	
14.	The PTAB assigned the '273 IPR	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. F.
14,	number IPR2021-00179.	Declaration of Revin Gamon, Ex. 1.
15.	After a consolidated trial, the PTAB	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. A.
15.	issued a Final Written Decision in	Decimation of Revin Guinon, EA. 71.
	IPR2021-00155 on May 11, 2022,	
	finding, <i>inter alia</i> , that claims 3 and	
	23 of the '961 patent are not	
	unpatentable.	

No.	Statement of Fact	Supporting Evidence
16.	After the consolidated trial, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision in IPR2021-00165 on May 11, 2022, finding, <i>inter alia</i> , that claims 3-8 of the '156 patent are not unpatentable.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. B.
17.	After the consolidated trial, the PTAB issued a Final Written Decision in IPR2021-00179 on May 11, 2022, finding, <i>inter alia</i> , that claims 3-20, 25, 34-61, 63 and 67-70 of the '273 patent are not unpatentable.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. C.
18.	Google served its Responsive Contentions Regarding Non- Infringement and Invalidity with attached claim charts 1-18 on counsel for Singular on November 6, 2020.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. H.
19.	Google was aware of the patents and printed publications disclosed in its Petitions for IPR on or before October 30, 2020.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Exs. F, G.
20.	Google was aware of the patents and printed publications disclosed in its Responsive Contentions Regarding Non-Infringement and Invalidity on or before November 6, 2020.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Exs. H-Y.
21.	Google reasonably could have raised in one or more of its Petitions for IPR the prior art identified in its Petitions in addition to Dockser, Tong <i>et al.</i> and MacMillan.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. D-G.
22.	Google reasonably could have raised in one or more of its Petitions for IPR the prior art printed publications cited in its Invalidity Contentions and claim charts 1-17 served on counsel for Singular on November 6, 2020.	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex. H-Y.

No.	Statement of Fact	Supporting Evidence
23.	In its invalidity claim charts 1-17,	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Exs. I-
	Google asserts that each element of	Y.
	the asserted claims is described in	
	the patents and/or printed	
	publications as set forth in the	
	charts.	
24.	In each of its 17 claim charts,	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Exs. I-
	Google mapped the elements of the	Y.
	claims solely to the cited prior art	
	printed publications.	
25.	In its invalidity chart for GRAPE-3	Declaration of Kevin Gannon, Ex.
	device, Google sets forth an	W.
	element by element comparison	
	citing solely to prior art printed	
	publications that Google asserts	
	describe the structure and operation	
	of the device.	

Dated: November 3, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

## /s/ Paul J. Hayes

Paul J. Hayes (BBO #227000) Matthew D. Vella (BBO #660171) Kevin Gannon (BBO #640931) Brian Seeve (BBO#670455) Daniel McGonagle (BBO #690084) PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP

One International Place, Suite 3700

Boston, MA 02110 Tel: (617) 456-8000

Email: phayes@princelobel.com Email: mvella@princelobel.com Email: kgannon@princelobel.com Email: bseeve@princelobel.com Email: dmcgonagle@princelobel.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 3, 2022, all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Paul J. Hayes